




Ergonomics in Laparoscopic Surgery

Abhishek Singh, Arvind P Ganpule, Sudharsan Balaji, V Mohan Kumar

Philosophy and setup of laparoscopic surgery, role of ergonomics in laparoscopic surgery and understanding the learning curve of laparoscopic surgery.

Learning Objectives

1. To understand why there is a need to learn laparoscopy.
2. How do you start a laparoscopic surgical program?
3. What are the initial obstacles in starting the program and how to overcome them?
4. To understand the basics of operating room setup.
5. Understanding the role of ergonomics in laparoscopy.
6. To understand about learning curve, teaching, training and coaching in laparoscopy.

INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic surgery has slowly and steadily evolved over the last century. It all probably started in the year of 1902 when Georg Kelling a German Surgeon was working on minimally invasive techniques to stop gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding. Kelling proposed that creating a pneumoperitoneum and raising the intra-abdominal pressure could stop GI bleeding, he named this procedure Luft-tamponade (air-tamponade). In an endeavor to observe the effect of air-tamponade on the visceral organs, Kelling introduced the Nitze cystoscope through the abdominal wall of a dog and visualized the visceral organs. This was the genesis of laparoscopic surgery and it was named Coelioscopy.¹

The first human laparoscopic observations were made by Hans Christian Jacobaeus, who was an internist from Stockholm Sweden. Jacobaeus introduced a trocar with a trap

valve, in the abdominal cavity of the patients having ascites and after evacuation of the fluid, he created pneumoperitoneum and laparoscopy was again done using a cystoscope.²

In early 1980s Semm's demonstrated laparoscopic appendectomy, influenced by his work Erich Mühe on 12th September 1985 did the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy. He was a surgeon ahead of time, he had the tenacity to fight the German Medical and social system to prove his rationale. Today, it may seem the most predictive evolution but in the 1980s, Mühe's work was not very well received by the surgical community.³

Development of Laparoscopy in the Field of Urology

Laparoscopic surgery in the field of urology was relatively late to develop. Cortesi et al demonstrated first diagnostic use of laparoscopy

in a case of undescended testis in 1976.⁴ But, it was only in the year 1990 that Dr Ralph Clayman demonstrated laparoscopic nephrectomy.⁵ Around the same time Schuessler et al demonstrated first pelvic lymphadenectomy and later on he went on to describe laparoscopic radical prostatectomy.⁶ In 1990, 1st laparoscopic varicocele surgery was also demonstrated. Laparoscopic donor nephrectomy was demonstrated by Ratner et al in 1995 and now progressively has become a very commonly done procedure.⁷

The development of laparoscopic urology was very slow, by 1990 it was already about 50 years since laparoscopy had come into existence and still there were not many surgeons around the world who were performing urological procedures laparoscopically.

Urological laparoscopic surgery developed late as it had a steep learning curve, two-dimensional vision was difficult to adapt, there was loss of haptic sensation, surgeons believed that very few urological surgical procedures could be done laparoscopically and lastly it was thought that urological laparoscopic procedures are much more complex as compared to gynecological and general surgical procedures.

Why do Urological Laparoscopic Surgery?

1. Magnificent visualization: If there is one reason that a surgeon should start doing laparoscopic surgery, it is the magnificent visualization that laparoscopy offers. During the 1980s, major advances took place in the camera systems. By late 1990s and early 2000s, high definition (HD) camera systems became available. HD systems had higher resolution than standard definition systems, in general they have a video image of greater than 480 vertical scan lines. After HD systems came the full HD systems with progressive scan which had 1080 vertical lines. These vertical lines are a measure of the clarity, the screen or the camera is going to offer. Now we

have 4k systems available, these systems have a resolution of 2 times the vertical and 2 times the horizontal resolution of a full HD system (1080p). Further to this, quantum laser emitting diode (Q LED) and 8k technologies have already hit the television market and soon will be incorporated into medical grade monitors and cameras. The vision that laparoscopic surgery offers is only getting better with technology and surgeon has started to see anatomical details better than before.

Many open surgeons who have started doing laparoscopy confess that they had never seen anatomical details like it is seen in laparoscopic surgery. To site a few examples, the two layers of Gerota's fascia or the course of lumbar vein on left side and the distinction between the retroperitoneal and gerotal fat are much better appreciated in laparoscopic vision.

- 2. Dramatic postoperative recovery:** The postoperative recovery after surgery is dramatic in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. The patients are ambulatory early, most of them ambulate by the evening of the surgery and allowed orally 8 hours after the surgery. Objectively, postoperative recovery has been studied in randomized controlled trials by measuring the levels of acute phase reactants like C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), HLA-DR expression on monocytes, level of growth hormone and cortisol levels. It is seen that the rise in these acute phase reactants is less in laparoscopic surgery when compared to open surgery, supporting accelerated recovery of patients post-laparoscopic surgery.⁸ The above also proves that immune response to laparoscopic surgery is much less when compared to open surgery.⁸
- 3. Significant reduction in pain and decreased analgesic use:** Laparoscopic surgery induced pain is less in intensity than the pain caused by open surgery. The visual

analog scale pain scores for the patients are significantly less. Though the pain is less it is different in characteristic as compared to open surgical pain. It can be classified as visceral pain which is vague abdominal pain occurring predominantly in the first 24 hours of the surgery, second type of pain is parietal pain which arises from the incision site which also subside in the 1st 24 hours and the third type of pain is the shoulder pain which arises due to irritation of the diaphragm by CO₂, this pain is maximum on the 2nd day and decreases in intensity as the days pass by.⁹

4. **Lesser wound related and infectious complications:** Laparoscopic surgery is associated with a lower risk of surgical site infection.¹⁰ As the wounds are smaller the incidence of infection is also less. Not only the superficial and deep wound infection occur with decreased severity and incidence, also the rate of infection in the deep organ spaces decreases with the use of laparoscopic surgery.¹⁰ Incidence of deep organ space infection decreases in laparoscopic surgery as the abdominal contents are not exposed to the external environment.
5. **Reduction in postoperative adhesions:** As the surgical incision is small in laparoscopic surgery, smaller raw areas are created, which in turn leads to a lesser adhesion. Although adhesion can occur at the port site.
6. **Video imaging allows active participation of the mentors, trainees and theater technicians:** Laparoscopic surgery is team work and the video imaging system helps the whole team to be involved in the procedure. It makes mentoring possible and allows the team to be prepared for the next surgical steps. If the surgeon is going away at any surgical step, he can be guided by other team members.

Starting a Urological Laparoscopic Program

1. Strong leadership support is required to set up a laparoscopic program.¹¹ As the

program is equipment driven, procurement of the instruments is a major task, this is done by the people in leadership position. In an individual practice this role is done by the operating surgeon. In a corporate or government organization, it is the administration which does it.

2. The chief surgeon is the center piece of the project; he should initially train himself by attending training program and short fellowship courses. Deterrent here is the cost of training and travelling, which has to be borne by individual surgeon in a stand-alone practice. Detailed description of the surgical procedure should be read, anatomy understood and teaching video watched. Simulation based training helps in developing laparoscopic skills, surgeon should start by doing simple exercises in dry lab, if facilities are not available office endotainers are commercially available, they can be bought and training started. Cost may be deterring factor; this can be overcome by using low cost endotrainer which surgeon can himself developed (described later in text). Dry laboratory training is followed by wet laboratory simulations and finally the surgeon should embark on the real-time situation.
3. Developing a dedicated laparoscopic team (Fig. 1.1): Laparoscopic surgery is team work, surgeon is only as good as his team. The team consist of a chief surgeon, camera driver (ideally should be a surgeon), anesthesiologist, scrub nurse, circulating



Fig. 1.1: Showing a dedicated laparoscopy team

nurse and theater electronic technician who can manage all the electronic and other equipment (camera, light source, insufflator) which are used in laparoscopic surgery.

4. Inviting a proctor and sequential training: The program should be started by inviting a proctor to mentor cases. He or she can be an expert urological laparoscopic surgeon, if unavailable one can consider inviting and experienced laparoscopic general surgeon. Sequential training by observing a few cases then assisting a few and finally doing a few steps of the surgery and then migrating to do the whole procedure. This basic Halstedian model along with simulation based training will go a long way in training surgeons to become experts.
5. Developing familiarity with basic instrumentation (Table 1.1 and Fig. 1.2): Surgeon should be familiar with the instruments and know correction of basic malfunction. This will remove the frustration barrier and help in development of the program.
6. Initial case selection (Table 1.2): Initial cases that a urologist does should be carefully selected, a well-done case will help the surgeon develop confidence and will go a long way in determining his learning curve. Initially one should start with a beginner-friendly case, in urological laparoscopy beginner-friendly case will be an upper tract extirpative surgery in a perimenopause female, with a body mass index of about 25–27. The patient should not have any



Fig. 1.2: Showing a basic laparoscopic instrument trolley

Table 1.2: Criteria of patient selection for laparoscopic surgery

Patient selection

- Upper tract—preferable
- Should have no history of:
 - a. COPD
 - b. Obesity: BMI >30
 - c. Extensive prior abdominal or pelvic surgery
 - d. Pelvic fibrosis
 - e. Organomegaly
 - f. Ascites: Benign etiology
 - g. Hernia
 - h. Iliac or aortic aneurysm

comorbid illness like chronic obstructive airway disease and vascular malformations of great vessels. Patient should not be suffering from pelvic fibrosis, diseases which may ascites, hernias and any organomegaly.

Table 1.1: List of basic laparoscopic instruments

Basic instrumentation

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scissors • Hook • Suction and irrigation • Grasping forceps: Maryland, right angled, bowel holding, toothed grasper • Diathermy: Monopolar and bipolar • Harmonic scalpel • Port closure device • Specimen retrieval bag | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laparoscopic cart • Television monitor • Color video chip camera • High intensity light source • High flow CO₂ insufflator • Laparoscope: 0 and 30° • Clip application: 5 mm/11 mm • Trocars: 12 mm and 5 mm |
|---|--|

Components of a Laparoscopic Operating Room (OR) set up (Figs 1.3 to 1.5)

Components of OR set up include imaging systems, insufflators, hemostatic generators and instrumentation. For carrying out a surgical procedure there should be a smooth interaction between the various components and the surgical team.

General principles of laparoscopic or room set up:

1. The OR room should have a vision cart, which should ideally be hanging from a boom. Vision cart has the monitor and below the monitor are 3–4 shelves which house the insufflator, camera unit, light source, electro-surgical units and other hemostatic generators (Fig. 1.3).
2. There should be at least 2 monitors on opposite sides of the OR table. The surgeon should be optically correct and the one monitor should be in front of the surgeon.
3. Insufflator should be just below or along the side of the monitor, during initial cases if the surgeon has to turn to look at the quadromanometric indicator it will be anxiety provoking and also surgeon may



Fig. 1.3: Components of OR set up




Fig. 1.4: OR set up for right upper tract laparoscopic procedure



Fig. 1.5: OR set up for left upper tract laparoscopic procedure



Fig. 1.6: Inappropriate positioning of insufflator

not be able to appreciate the uniform distension of abdomen and other signs of appropriate intraperitoneal insufflation (Fig. 1.6).

4. The vision cart should be placed in front of the surgeon to make him optically correct.
5. All the tubes and cable should be tangle free; this will help in free movement of all the instruments and make the surgery seamless (Fig. 1.7).
6. Specially designed drapes and custom made bags can be used to position the instruments, telescope and working elements of the hemostatic generators. The commercially available drapes are disposable, but have multiple pockets where instruments can be placed. If not available bags made of cloth can be designed and used by pinning these bags to the drapes, they can be re-sterilized and used (Fig. 1.7).
7. Positioning of the personnel in the laparoscopic OR (Fig. 1.5): For an initial upper tract procedure the anesthesiologist is at the head and the camera driver stands

cranial to the surgeon and the staff nurse will stand caudal to the surgeon. The 1st assistant should stand opposite to the operating surgeon and will visualize the procedure on the screen which is behind the operating surgeon. The circulating nurse stands behind the operating surgeon and the scrub nurse. For the right-sided upper tract surgeries the camera driver may move caudal to the surgeon when the surgeon is working in the area of upper pole of the right kidney. The camera driver and surgeon should adjust to each other's body habitus so that minimal restriction of instrument movement occurs (Fig. 1.8). If there is a height discrepancy between the operating surgeon and the camera driver, the camera driver can sit down on an operating chair (Fig. 1.9). Other way around a tall surgeon can sit down and a shorter assistant can drive camera while standing. There may be situations like doing a single port surgery where both may have to sit.




Fig. 1.7: Tangle-free cable and proper laparoscopic drapes



Fig. 1.8: Appropriate positioning of camera driver and surgeon



Fig. 1.9: Appropriate camera driver sitting and driving the camera and surgeon is standing and operating

Urological Surgeries Which can be Done Laparoscopically

1. Surgeries which are accepted as standard of care when done laparoscopically:
 - a. Adrenalectomy for benign pathology

- b. Radical nephrectomy for T1–T3a renal cell carcinoma
- c. Simple nephrectomy for benign disease
- d. Nephroureterectomy for transitional cell carcinoma
- e. Dismembered pyeloplasty in adults
- f. Pelvic lymphadenectomy

Note: Excluding severe inflammatory conditions such as XGP

- 2. Surgeries which are being done routinely at many centers laparoscopically:
 - a. Partial nephrectomy
 - b. Radical prostatectomy
 - c. Living donor nephrectomy
- 3. Surgeries which are only done at centers of excellence:
 - a. Radical cystectomy and urinary diversion
 - b. Adrenalectomy for adrenal cortical carcinoma
 - c. Adrenalectomy for masses greater than 6 cm
 - d. Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection.

Laparoscopic OR Checklist

The famous book by Dr Atul Gawande “The checklist Menifesto” led to the birth of WHO checklist. In his book, the author talks about the errors in medicine, and they are basically either errors of ignorance which are due to lack of knowledge or there are errors of ineptitude, these errors occur due to lack for appropriate application of existing knowledge. Modern medicine is all about errors of ineptitude and these sorts of errors can be corrected using checklist.

Laparoscopic surgical checklist that can be used by novice surgeon

- Irrigation aspiration working: Yes/No
- Electrosurgical unit working: Yes/No
- CO₂ tank full and extra CO₂ tank available: Yes/No
- Camera is white balanced and light source is working: Yes/No
- Insufflation is checked for flow and response to kinking of tubing: Yes/No
- Veress needle is checked for flow and proper tip retraction: Yes/No

All the OR (operating room) staff and surgeon should make a checklist which should be pasted on the wall of the laparoscopic or next to the WHO checklist and before incision in all the cases, a ritual of reading though all the checklist items should be done.

Important Pillars of Laparoscopic Surgeries

Laparoscopic surgery stands on the shoulder of excellence in open surgery, because it was the open surgery that gave the surgeons a detailed insight into the human anatomy. But, when this interface of minimally invasive surgery was developed it required more than only knowledge of surgery. The pillars of laparoscopic surgery are:

- Ergonomics
- Task analysis
- Psycho-engineering

Ergonomics in Laparoscopic Surgery

The word ergonomics come from the Greek words “ergon” meaning work and “nomos” meaning laws of nature. By definition ergonomics is a scientific study of people at work, considering; the equipment design, workplace layout, the working environment, safety, productivity and training. In simpler terms, it is designing a working interface between man and the machine to improve task performance. The above can be done by creating working environment that fits the worker’s needs. Ergonomics can be, universal or specific and sensorial and physical.

Universal ergonomics include creating a well-ventilated room with appropriate temperature and specific ergonomics include maneuvers like adjusting the height of the operating table to surgeon’s height.

Sensorial ergonomics are issues like improving vision, with use of better camera and optical systems precision and dexterity will improve. Physical ergonomics include positioning of surgeon’s hands, neck, back in a relaxed position to generate maximum surgical performance.

Factors Unrelated to Human Skill Which Affect the Efficiency in Laparoscopic Surgery

1. Decoupling of the visual and motor axis: In open surgery vision and the motor movement are in the same axis and they get decoupled in laparoscopic surgery. Humans have to train for this decoupling.
2. Loss of tactile feedback: There is loss of haptics and the laparoscopic instruments replace the human fingers.
3. Changed visual orientation: The anatomy that an open surgeon was used to seeing outside-in, will now be oriented inside-out. The surgeon has to compensate for the same.
4. The loss of depth perception (Table 1.3): Initially surgeon will not be able to make out whether the structures are near or far as laparoscopy offers monocular vision which leads to loss of depth perception.
5. Loss of peripheral vision: Monocular vision is responsible for loss of peripheral vision.
6. Relatively static posture during major part of the procedure gives rise to fatigue and ergonomically speaking, contributes to the inefficiency.

Table 1.3: Comparing open and laparoscopic surgeon

Open vs laparoscopic surgeon

- | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| • Fast | • Slow and steady |
| • Hand is as good as eye | • Stop when you do not see |
| • Dissection precedes | • Hemostasis precedes |
| • Ergonomics optional | • Ergonomics vital |

Equipment Related Challenges in Laparoscopic Surgery

1. 2D vision
2. Loss of peripheral vision
3. Laparoscopic instruments have only 4° of freedom of movement, which are rotation, up/down angulations, left/right angulations, in/out movement. As compared to this, a human wrist has 6° of freedom movement which includes tilting forwards and backwards and pivoting side to side. The robotic endo wrist technology has 7° of freedom movement as it can flip back on itself.
4. Laparoscopic instruments work on reduced efficiency.
5. The instrument movements are counter-intuitive, which means that the instrument tip moves to right when the handle is moved to left.

Comparison of open and laparoscopic surgery is given in Table 1.4.

Ergonomical considerations for laparoscopic instruments (Figs 1.10 to 1.12)

1. The instruments should be held at the level of the elbow of the operating surgeon. This will keep the shoulders relaxed.
2. **Manipulation angle:** Manipulation angle is the angle formed by the tip of two working instruments. Ideally the manipulation angle should be 60°. If the manipulation angle increases to >75°, there will be abduction of shoulder and fatigue will occur after a period of time.¹²

Manasnayakorn et al in an experimental set up studied the manipulation angle. Ten

Table 1.4: Comparison of open and laparoscopic surgery

<i>Potential problematic areas</i>	
<i>Open</i>	<i>Laparoscopy</i>
• High degree of freedom • Surgeons work in-line with visual axis • Three-dimensional direct vision • Direct tactile feedback	• 2D vision • Loss of depth perception to some extent • Fulcrum effect with tremor enhancement • Only 4° of freedom • View is not under the control of operating surgeon



Fig. 1.10: Manipulation and Azimuth angles




Fig. 1.11: Schematic representation of manipulation and Azimuth angles

different surgeons were asked to do a 5 cm porcine enterotomy closure in a wet lab using different ports which corresponded to different manipulation angle ranging from 45° to 90° . The muscle workload was studied using an electromyography electrode. It was concluded that when the manipulation angle is between 45° to 60° the workload on deltoid, trapezius and forearm muscle was least with best outcome which was measured as leak pressures of anastomosis, execution time and error score.¹²

3. **Azimuth angle:** Azimuth angle is the angle formed between the single working instrument and the laparoscope. This angle should ideally be 30° , if this angle is less than 15° or greater than 45° there will instrument fighting and lot of strain on the upper limbs. Task efficiency is better if both the azimuth angles are equal.¹³
4. **Elevation angle:** It is the angle formed between the instrument and the body of the patient. Ideally it should be 30° , whenever it increases to greater than 60° . Shoulder become abducted and fatigue occurs. Also,




Fig. 1.12: Elevation angle

if the elevation angle decreases to 15° . Shoulders will have excessive adduction and movement of the instrument will be difficult.

The elevation angle increases when the target organ is too near the port and decreases when the target organ is too far.

Mechanism of working of laparoscopic instruments and its ergonomical implication (Fig. 1.13): If the long laparoscopic

instrument is used to perform an open surgery, surgeon will surely not be able to operate. This is because the instrument will tend to wobble and there will be minimal control. Laparoscopic instruments behave like levers and abdominal wall is a fulcrum on which this lever moves, therefore, it is possible to do laparoscopic surgery. The portion of the instrument inside the abdominal wall is called the force arm and the portion outside is called the load arm.





Fig. 1.13: Fulcrum effect

Laparoscopic Instruments as Type 1 Lever

Ideally the length of the load arm and the force arm should be the same, this will lead to 1:1 transmission of movement and tip of the instrument will move only as much as the handle moves. Most of the adult instruments are 36 cm in length; so, ideally always 18 cm should be inside. Whenever this happens instruments behave like type 1 lever and there is an exactly equal and opposite transmission of force at the two ends. This may not be always possible; therefore, it is practical to have 18–24 cm of a 36 cm instrument inside the abdominal cavity.

Laparoscopic Instruments as Type 2 Lever

If more than two-thirds of the working length of the instrument are outside, the laparoscopic instrument behaves like type 2 lever. Large movements outside will lead to smaller movement inside. Force is magnified and the movement is rectified (large movement outside leads to smaller movements inside). This is what a laborer does when he has to push a large stone, a rod is placed at the edge of the stone with another small stone is placed near the large one, supporting the rod and acting as a fulcrum. Large force can be now applied on the rod to cause small movement of the large stone.

Ergonomically speaking whenever instrument behaves like a type 2 lever, the port is very near the target organ. This leads to another problem that is, it causes increase in the elevation angle beyond 60°, leading to fatigue. In cases where metal ports are being used and the instrument behaves like type 2 lever, direct coupling of the electric current may occur as the metal tip of the operating instrument is very close to the metal port.

Laparoscopic Instruments as Type 3 Lever

When greater than two-thirds the length of the working instrument are inside the abdomen,

the instrument behaves like a type 3 lever. Small movement outside gets transmitted as large movements inside, force gets rectified and movement gets magnified. Type 3 lever behavior of a working instrument is seen when the ports are placed far away from the target organ. When a surgeon is working on the upper pole of the kidney, typically the instrument behaves like a type 3 lever, overshooting of the tip of the instrument may occur, which may lead to the instrument tip to go out of field of vision and injure the spleen or diaphragm on the left side. Diving board used by swimmers is an example of type 3 lever, where the swimmer jumps from the tip of the board and his movement gets amplified with minimal force. When working instrument, behaves like type 3 lever the elevation angle decreases leading to fatigue of shoulders. Also, majority of the long length of the instrument is not under vision, this can potentially cause injury.

Ideal Instrument Characteristics

- Length of instrument 36 cm for adults and 28 cm for pediatric patient
- Half inside and half outside
- Type 1 lever
- Telescope should be in the middle to get a better depth perception.

Ergonomic port positioning (baseball diamond concept) (Fig. 1.14): Ergonomic port positioning is the port positioning by which a surgeon can achieve ideal working instrument characteristics. If all the ports are ergonomically placed then the instruments behave like a type 1 lever, the manipulation angle is 60° and telescope is in the middle of the working instruments.

For ergonomic port positioning baseball diamond concept, should be followed. The concept states that 1st determine the target area, for a nephrectomy renal hilum is the target area, for a pyeloplasty pelviureteric junction is the target. After marking the target, two arcs are drawn, 18 cm and 24 cm




Fig. 1.14: Ergonomic port positioning using baseball diamond concept

away from the target area, all the working ports should be between these two arcs for the instrument to behave like type 1 lever.

In real-time situation after marking the target area and drawing two arcs, the camera port is placed in straight line with the target organ, now the right and left ports are placed between the two arcs in such a way that the instruments when placed from these ports form an angle of 60° with each other. 'Triangle law' states that, the distance of the working ports from the camera depends on the length of the instrument used. If a pediatric instrument of 28 cm length is used the distance of the working port from the camera port should be 5 cm to make manipulation angle of 60° , this distance is 7.5 cm when standard 36 cm adult instruments are used and becomes 10 cm when 45 cm bariatric instruments are used.

In real time the above can be achieved in an adult by making a diamond with your both index fingers and thumb. The tip of both the index fingers should be placed at the target organ, the confluence of both the thumbs will

give us the camera position and the working ports will be in at the level of anatomical snuffbox. The distance from tip of the thumb to the snuffbox is roughly 7.5 cm.

Depth Cues

As laparoscopes are monocular scope there is a loss of depth perception. Placing camera between both the working instruments gives a better depth perception. Surgeon progressively learns to understand these depth cues and hence is able to say which structure is deep and which one near.

1. **Occlusion:** The structure in front will occlude the one behind and cast a shadow, therefore, one may be able to conclude that the structure which is occluding is nearer as compared to the structure which is being occluded.
2. **Relative size:** The structures closer to camera appear bigger and the structures which are farther off appear smaller.
3. **Aerial gradient:** The structures which are nearer have sharper edges as compared to structures which are farther off.

4. **Linear parallax:** Two parallel lines appear to meet each other at a distance and appear parallel to each other at a closer distance.
5. **Motion parallax:** Structures near appear to move more as compared to deeper structures.
6. **Texture gradient:** Nearer structures appear bright when compared to deeper structures which appear dark.

Mirror Imaging (Fig. 1.15)

It is important to understand the concept of mirror imaging in laparoscopy. When an assistant is standing opposite to the operating surgeon and assisting, though he would be seeing the same image as the surgeon all his movements will be opposite to the surgeon's movement as seen on the screen.



Fig. 1.15: Mirror imaging for the 1st assistant surgeon

Summary of instrument characteristics

- Head should be straight, in axis of trunk, without rotation or extension of the cervical spine.
- Shoulders in relaxed and in neutral position.
- Arms should be along the side of the body
- Elbows should be bent to 70° to 90°
- Forearms in horizontal or in a slightly descending axis
- Hands pronated
- Hands and fingers lightly grip the handles/handpiece
- Gaze should be down
- Neck slightly flexed and in straight line with the monitor.

Ergonomic posture of operating surgeon is shown in Fig. 1.16.



Fig. 1.16: Ergonomic posture of operating surgeon

Co-axial Alignment (Fig. 1.17)

It is an important ergonomic consideration in laparoscopic surgery, the surgeon, target organ and the monitor should be in the same line. The monitor should be slightly lower than the eye level of the surgeon, so that the surgeon has a 15°–20° downward gaze. Downward gaze keeps the sternocleidomastoid muscle relaxed and prevents its fatigue. This will also keep the eye in resting position preventing the oculomotor fatigue.



Fig. 1.17: Co-axial alignment

Position of the Monitor in Relation to the Surgeon

The monitor should be placed at a distance of 5 times the length of the diagonal of the monitor, for a 21-inch monitor the surgeon should be standing 105 inches away from the monitor. At this distance image formation occurs on macula of retina which has maximum acuity of vision and color perception. When the image is placed near it is large for macula and when away it is small for macula.

Operating Room Considerations in Ergonomics

1. Height of OT table: Height of the operating table should be calculated by the formula $0.49 \times \text{height of the surgeon}$. Laparoscopic OT table must be able to reach up to 24 cm from ground. The patient should be roughly at the level of waist of the surgeon.
2. OT lights should have an illumination of 20 lacs, dark light leads to poor visualization and bright light causes optical illusions.

Task Analysis

- Stepwise description of any task
- Components
 - Procedural steps
 - Executional steps
- Scientific sequential arrangement of steps

Task analysis is stepwise description of any task and the task is restructured into its component steps, each of these steps is arranged in a sequence for the task to be finished.

Task analysis is a learning tool and can be used by a novice surgeon for further training.

Psychoengineering

It is one of the pillars on which laparoscopic surgery stands. All the surgeons should be familiar with their machines. They should know the basic management of troubleshooting. For

example, if the pneumoperitoneum is not being maintained, the gas tubing may be kinked, inlet valve of the port may be closed or CO₂ cylinder may be empty. Anticipating these troubles may save the day for any surgeon. A laparoscopic surgeon should not be temperamental, should be polite to the entire team, this will help form a better team and the member will work with more commitment.

Training in Laparoscopic Surgery

In this section of the chapter, we will try to understand:

1. Ingredients of a surgery
2. Learning curve
3. How to use dry and wet lab to best of our advantage?
4. Do you have a mentor?
5. Top professionals have coaches, do we?

Learning a Laparoscopic Motor Skill

Learning a laparoscopic motor skill should start in a dry lab using basic simulation models, after this the trainee can proceed to wet lab on animal models.

Fitts and Posner have described 3 phases of learning a new motor skill:^{14, 15}

1. *Cognitive phase*: In this phase student tries to learn a task and he is tentative, takes small steps at a time and all the steps are intellectualized. This can be seen when a novice starts practicing motor skill in a dry lab on an endo trainer. If the task given to him is transferring bead from one bowl to other, he will slowly hold the instrument, tentatively grasp the bead and slowly move it to the other bowl.
2. *Integrative phase*: It is phase where the information that the trainee has about the task has been converted into purposeful movements. The trainee is still thinking about the task but is able to finish the task with fluidity. If we consider the above example of bead transfer the trainee is now able to hold

the bead in his instrument and fluidly transfer it from one bowl to other the movement is slow but purposeful and continuously being thought about. Here the trainee is gradually developing hand eye co-ordination, dexterity and depth perception.

3. *Stage of automation:* This is a stage of learning where the movements become precise and quick, and are extremely purposeful. Considering the example of bead transfer the trainee will quickly be able to grasp the bead in non-dominant hand and transfer it to the dominant hand and then into the bowl. As he does the task he is not continuously thinking about the task, it starts to come to him naturally.

Factors Affecting Training in Laparoscopic Surgery

Whenever training programs are started it is extremely important to understand whether they are effective or not. Also, what are the steps needed to improve training.

1. **Effective feedback:** Feedback is very important to know if one is doing the right thing. Feedback can be intrinsic or extrinsic. Intrinsic feedback is what a trainee gives to himself, it is like talking to oneself. After training for a few hours one realizes the depth cues, like what is near is bigger and brighter, remembering this fact in one's mind is intrinsic feedback.¹⁶ Extrinsic feedback is the one which is given by a mentor, it can be a summative feedback given at the end of the training or it can be concurrent feedback which is given as you are performing training.¹⁷ It has been found that summative feedback is more effective in training students as they are able to concentrate better once the task is over.
2. **Deliberate practice:** Goal directed learning and practice helps in better training. Deliberate practice is mindful practice of a particular step of surgery. "It is like, when cricketer Virat Kohli gets out to a hook shot, he will keep practicing the hook shot till he perfects it".
3. **Concept of a pre-trained novice:** The term pre-trained novice was coined by Gallagher, pre-trained novice is a trainee who has reached a stage of automation in a simulated environment.²² This trainee when he starts operating a case, he does not have to be bothered about concentrating on dexterity, hand-eye co-ordination, etc. he starts to pay attention to anatomical details and avoiding complications. The problem with this learning model is, that we do not know how much time does an individual take to get to the stage of automation. Certain academic institutions have based it on the number of hours, a trainee has spent in lab doing exercises, but rather it should be proficiency based and assessed by a mentor.^{23, 24}
4. **Graded sequential practice:** While practicing motor skills a surgeon should start with easier exercises and progressively graduate to more difficult ones. It has been demonstrated in studies that, with increasing level of difficulties in simulation models the motor skills improve.²⁵
5. **Cognitive learning:** Surgery is not only about learning a motor skill, this skill

should blend with excellent anatomical, physiological and pathological knowledge. In cognitive training, apart from the motor skills the trainee is also taught anatomical details and pathological basis of the surgery. This knowledge helps him apply his motor skill better and leads to faster and more efficient training.²⁶

Simulators in Laparoscopic Surgery

1. **Mechanical simulators:** They can be either a commercially available box trainer or a home-made endotrainer. Home-made endotrainer is equally effective in training but are not validated.
2. **Animal-based simulation models:** They can be made to train in particular skill or also a particular procedure. They can be cadaveric animate models or living animal models. Few animal models available are:
 - a. Porcine nephrectomy model
 - b. Porcine partial nephrectomy model
 - c. Chicken pyeloplasty model
 - d. Chicken model for urethrovesical anastomosis.
3. **Virtual reality training models:** These models are like video games; these are computer generated programs which create situations on a screen and motor action are carried out to complete the tasks.²⁷

Constructing an office endotrainer:²⁸ (Muljibhai Patel Urological Hospital's Office Endotrainer) (Figs 1.18 to 1.20).

Design Construct

A wooden frame 20 inches in length by 15 inches in breath is made (Fig. 1.18). In the center of the frame, two half-inch wooden bars, 15 inches in length are nailed vertically, 2 inches apart (Fig. 1.18). At the base of the above two vertical bars a third, half an inch wooden bar, 4 inches in length is nailed horizontally. Lateral to the vertical bars on both sides, whole of wooden frame is fitted by a 6-mm thin wooden sheet. Multiple holes of 15 mm are cut out on the wooden sheets and are covered by a rubber cork, which is a bottle cap of 100 ml saline/contrast bottles (Fig. 1.18). These corks act like ports. The whole assembly is mounted on 4 wooden rods, the two rods in front are 9 inches in height and the rear two rods are 5 inches in height (Fig. 1.19). All these four rods are screwed on hinges and are foldable. It takes about an hour for a carpenter to make this device and cost of construction of device was 700 rupees. An additional light can be fitted under the frame (Fig. 1.19), but on most occasions a well-lit room provides adequate light as the device is open by the sides.




Fig. 1.18: Endotrainer design




Fig. 1.19: Endotrainer design




Fig. 1.20: Use of an office endotrainer

Using this Device

This device is simple to use, a mobile phone or a tablet is used as a screen with this device. The device is vertically placed on the two vertical bars of the wooden frame and rests on the horizontal bar. The device is put on camera mode and then the desired exercise is carried out. The room light of a well-illuminated room is good enough for vision; the light mode off mobile phone or tablet can also be used.

Conventional laparoscopic or laparoscopic training instruments like Maryland grasper, bowel grasper, laparoscopic scissors and needle holder are used with the device. Endo-training material like suturing mat, beads,

glove fingers and needles can be used for carrying out various exercises like bead holding and transfer, circle cutting and suturing (Fig. 1.20).

Advantages

1. Device is simple to construct and low cost.
2. It is sleek and can be used as an office endotrainer, which will be very helpful for busy surgeons wanting to learn laparoscopy as it can be kept in their office.
3. Vision is good as most of the mobile phones and tablets have high quality cameras. One can zoom in and out.
4. Multiple holes on both sides act as multiple ports, has an ergonomical advantage.

Disadvantages

1. Surgeon looks in same axis as the device though the exercise in question is not visible to him.
2. Surgeon may take some time to adapt to the device.

Ingredients of Laparoscopic Surgery

As we try to learn laparoscopic surgery we must know what are the key ingredients of learning laparoscopy.

1. **Anatomical knowledge in general:** While starting surgery, it is of utmost importance to know the basic anatomy. For example, in any upper tract urological procedure, surgeon has to know the relation of renal artery to renal vein.
2. **Laparoscopic anatomical knowledge:** Laparoscopic anatomy is different from open surgical anatomy. The anatomy does not change but the orientation and the perspective changes. To site an example, in laparoscopic surgery it is easier to find the renal artery from the lower margin of the renal vein, even when the artery is at the upper border of the renal vein.
3. **Radiological information:** Radiological information acts like global positioning system in laparoscopic surgery. It tells the operating surgeon the details of all the landmarks and where can one find them intraoperatively. Also, the surgeon can be well prepared for the anatomical variations.
4. **Procedural steps:** Each procedure is divided into procedural steps. Like for a nephrectomy, procedural step will be port placement, bowel reflection, lifting the ureterogonadal packet, identification of renal vein, dissecting the upper pole, identification of aorta and renal artery, dissection of artery followed by vein, clipping of vessel, ureteral clipping and separating the specimen all around.
5. **Executorial steps:** Each procedural step is further divided into smaller steps known as executorial steps. Let us consider an

example of lifting ureterogonadal packet during laparoscopic nephrectomy. This procedural step is divided into the following executorial steps:

- a. Identifying the ureterogonadal packet
 - b. Identifying Gerota's fascia
 - c. Opening the Gerota's fascia
 - d. Lifting the packet—at a point where gonadal and ureter cross
 - e. Keeping the psoas sheath with psoas muscle
 - f. Do not dissect in-between gonadal vein and ureter, keep tissue on ureter.
 - g. Extent of dissection: Carinal—renal vein, caudal—crossing over the iliac artery and lateral—up to lateral abdominal wall
6. Component movements involving the executorial steps:
Component movements should be individually practiced to execute a step.
Skill sets required to complete an executorial step.
For example: Lifting ureterogonadal packet:
 - a. Why do it? As it helps exposing the renal hilum.
 - b. Identification of ureter is done by anatomical knowledge, by the acronym, water flows under the bridge and by peristalsis.
 - c. Opening of the Gerota's fascia requires ambidexterity, traction, countertraction.
 - d. Lifting of the packet at the point where gonadal and ureter cross is done using suction and a dissector alternately making a to and fro motion ambidextrously.
 - e. Keeping the psoas muscle sheath with psoas is done using suction as a dissector and depth perception is critical for this step.

Keys to Learning Laparoscopy

1. Deconstruct the skill to component parts
 - Know your anatomy very well
 - Learn the steps of a laparoscopic procedure




Fig. 1.21: The learning curve

2. Practice component parts
 - Hand-eye co-ordination, ambidexterity
 - Depth perception
 - Cutting and suturing
3. Practice to the point of failure
4. Immerse yourself in the process

Learning Curve of Laparoscopic Surgery (Fig. 1.21)

Malcom Gladwell in his book the outliers said that it takes 10,000 hours to master a skill.²⁹ When he said this, he meant that for somebody to become world class athlete or musician it requires 10,000 hours, it is not that we need 10,000 hours to learn a new skill. Skill acquisition will start as early as 20 hours of training. While learning, the trainee should deconstruct the skill into smaller part. Always one should learn enough to self-correct and remove all the distraction barriers. Frustration barriers can be removed by repetitive practice. The learning curve will have a slow beginning followed by a steep acceleration and finally a plateau.

Top Athletes and Singers have Coaches, should we Surgeon also have them?

This is a very intriguing question that Dr Atul Gawande asked in his article published in the NewYorker.³⁰ When all the top professions have coaches why do not we surgeons have

them, in a profession which requires error elimination to the level of sigma six.

He described in this article that when he invited a mentor to observe him for a parathyroid surgery, how a summative feedback given by the mentor improved his performance. Small errors like improperly directed headlight when directed properly could make surgery easier.

I had a similar personal experience in the gym one day, when I saw two young men train, one of them would train his latissimus dorsi muscle and the other one would train his forearm muscles almost everyday. I happened to ask them the reason for this training, the first bloke replied that ; "I am a bowler and I need strong wings to bowl faster" and the second one said; "I am a batsman and play for a local T20 league, I need strong forearms so that I could hit the ball out of the park". After listening to both of them I wondered, "I also use my shoulders everyday for performing laparoscopic surgery, which is technically very demanding, yet I had never thought of training my deltoid muscles".

References

1. Litynski GS. Laparoscopy: The Early Attempts: Spotlighting Georg Kelling and Hans Christian Jacobaeus. JSLS: Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons 1997 Jan;1(1):83.

2. Jacobaeus HC. Ueber die Möglichkeit die Zystoskopie bei Untersuchungserosion anzuwenden. *Monatsschrift für Medizin und Naturgeschichte* 1910;57:2090–2092.
3. Walker Reynolds J. The first laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *JSL: Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons* 2001 Jan;5(1):89.
4. Cortesi N., Ferrari P., Zambarda E., Manenti A., Baldini A, Morano F.P. Diagnosis of bilateral abdominal cryptorchidism by laparoscopy. *Endoscopy* 1976;33:8.
5. Clayman RV, Kavoussi LR, Soper NJ, Dierks SM, Meretyk S, Darcy MD, Roemer FD, Pingleton ED, Thomson PG, Long SR. Laparoscopic nephrectomy: initial case report. *The Journal of urology* 2017 Feb 28;197(2):S182–86.
6. Schuessler WW, Schulam PG, Clayman RV, Kavoussi LR. Laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: initial short-term experience. *Urology* 1997 Dec 1;50(6):854–7.
7. Ratner LE, Montgomery RA, Kavoussi LR. Laparoscopic live donor nephrectomy: a review of first 5 years. *Urol Clin North Am* 2001;28:709–19.
8. Veenhof AA, Vlug MS, van der Pas MH, Sietses C, van der Peet DL, De Lange-De Klerk ES, Bonjer HJ, Bemelman WA, Cuesta MA. Surgical stress response and postoperative immune function after laparoscopy or open surgery with fast track or standard perioperative care: a randomized trial. *Annals of surgery* 2012 Feb 1;255(2):216–21.
9. Kum CK, Wong CW, Goh PM, Ti TK. Comparative study of pain level and analgesic requirement after laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques* 1994 Apr 1;4(2):139–41.
10. Richards C, Edwards J, Culver D, Emori TG, Tolson J, Gaynes R, National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance (NNIS) System. Does using a laparoscopic approach to cholecystectomy decrease the risk of surgical site infection? *Annals of surgery* 2003 Mar;237(3):358.
11. Gyedu A, Bingener J, Dally C, Oppong J, Price R, Reid-Lombardo K. Starting a Laparoscopic Surgery Programme in the Second Largest Teaching Hospital in Ghana. *East African medical journal* 2014;91(4):133–37.
12. Manasayakorn S, Cuschieri A, Hanna GB. Ideal manipulation angle and instrument length in hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery. *Surgical endoscopy* 2008 Apr 1;22(4):924–29.
13. Supe AN, Kulkarni GV, Supe PA. Ergonomics in laparoscopic surgery. *Journal of minimal access surgery* 2010 Apr;6(2):31.
14. Anastakis DJ, Regehr G, Reznick RK, Cusimano M, Murnaghan J, Brown M, Hutchison C. Assessment of technical skills transfer from the bench training model to the human model. *The American journal of surgery* 1999 Feb 28;177(2):167–70.
15. Rowan AN. Is justification of animal research necessary? *JAMA* 1993;269:1114.
16. Stefanidis D, Heniford BT. The formula for a successful laparoscopic skills curriculum [discussion in *Arch Surg* 2009;144(1):82.] *Arch Surg* 2009;144(1):77–82.
17. Xeroulis GJ, Park J, Moulton CA, Reznick RK, LeBlanc V, Dubrowski A. Teaching suturing and knot-tying skills to medical students: a randomized controlled study comparing computer-based video instruction and (concurrent and summary) expert feedback. *Surgery* 2007 Apr 30;141(4):442–49.
18. Ericsson KA. Deliberate practice and the acquisition and maintenance of expert performance in medicine and related domains. *Acad Med* 2004;79(10 suppl):S70–81.
19. Moulton CA, Dubrowski A, MacRae H, Graham B, Grober E, Reznick R. Teaching surgical skills: What kind of practice makes perfect? A randomized controlled trial. *Annals of surgery*. 2006 Sep 1;244(3):400–9.
20. Mackay S, Morgan P, Datta V, Chang A, Darzi A. Practice distribution in procedural skills training. *Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques* 2002 Jun 1;16(6):957–61.
21. van Dongen KW, Ahlberg G, Bonavina L, et al. European consensus on a competency-based virtual reality training program for basic endoscopic surgical psychomotor skills. *Surg Endosc* 2011;25(1):166–71.
22. Gallagher AG, Ritter EM, Champion H, et al. Virtual reality simulation for the operating room: proficiency-based training as a paradigm shift in surgical skills training. *Ann Surg* 2005;241(2): 364–72.
23. Korndorffer JR, Dunne JB, Sierra R, Stefanidis D, Touchard CL, Scott DJ. Simulator training for laparoscopic suturing using performance goals translates to the operating room. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2005 Jul 31;201(1): 23–29.

24. Ahlberg G, Enochsson L, Gallagher AG, Hedman L, Hogman C, McClusky DA, Ramel S, Smith CD, Arvidsson D. Proficiency-based virtual reality training significantly reduces the error rate for residents during their first 10 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. *The American journal of surgery* 2007 Jun 30;193(6):797–804.
25. Ali MR, Mowery Y, Kaplan B, DeMaria EJ. Training the novice in laparoscopy: more challenge is better. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16(12):1732–36.
26. Kohls-Gatzoulis JA, Regehr G, Hutchison C. Teaching cognitive skills improve learning in surgical skills courses: a blinded, prospective, randomized study. *Can J Surg* 2004;47(4):277–83.
27. Coleman J, Nduka CC, Darzi A. Virtual reality and laparoscopic surgery. *British journal of surgery*. 1994 Jan 1;81(12):1709–11.
28. Tak Gopal R, Singh AG, Ganpule AP, Sabnis RB, Desai MR. Office Laparoscopic Endotrainer or Commercially Available Laparoscopic Endotrainer. Podium presentation, WZUSICON 2016.
29. Gladwell M. *Outliers: The story of success*. Hachette UK; 2008, Nov 18.
30. Gawande A. Top athletes and singers have coaches. Should you. *The New Yorker* 2011 Oct 3:44–53.